Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/04/06: CIA-RDP87M01152R001101350014-1

DATE		
2 3	SEP	1985
Las	-	
F-		
•	•	i
		ı
		1
		}
		1
		1
		- 1
		1
		- 1
MS		
42 Hael	EXTENS	ION
	Jz MS	2 3 SEP

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/04/06: CIA-RDP87M01152R001101350014-1

CONFIDENTIAL 35-2**5**67/3

2 3 SEP 1985

BIT LIFE

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Chief, Legislative Division

Office of Legislative Liaison

VIA:

Counsel/DDO

Acting Chief, EPS/

Special Assistant, ODDO

25X1

25X1

FROM:

Chief, DO/Career Management Staff

SUBJECT:

Justice Department Proposed Report on H.R. 1710, a Bill to Remove Maximum Hiring Ages and Mandatory Retirement Ages for Federal

Employees Not Covered by the Age

Discrimination Act

REF:

OLL 85-2567/1, dated 11 September 1985,

same subject

- 1. The Directorate of Operations (DO) is deeply concerned over the implications of the referent Bill which would remove our flexibility in hiring and retaining the kind of young and vigorous workforce which is essential in carrying out our unique and highly sensitive clandestine mission.
- The record would show that the DO has been most responsible and sparing in exercising its prerogatives under the existing legislation, imposing a maximum hiring age (35) only for the relatively small number of participants in the Career Training Program -- the backbone of our overseas officer corps--and the mandatory retirement age only for those who have met the overseas qualifying time for CIARDS.
- Among the factors which argue for retention of our hiring flexibility and a flow-through of personnel are these:
- (a) We must have a core of personnel prepared to go anywhere in the world as the national interest requires. This assumes that these employees--and their dependents--can meet the rigorous medical (physical and psychological) standards for worldwide duty. Our figures show that after age 50 nearly 50 percent of Agency employees are not eligible for full service medical clearances, and this does not even include those who are unable to travel because of family medical constraints.

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

- (c) Frequent moves, family disruption, and health hazards have long been "givens" in our business, but now our employees—and their families—are facing increasing threat from civil unrest, terrorism and high crime rates. It is unfair and unrealistic to think that our people can or should keep this up indefinitely.
- (d) Those employees who have served for many years in our operating environment run a high risk of being identified to hostile intelligence, security, or terrorist organizations. It is essential to our mission that we be able to move out those who have been exposed and replace them with younger, "operationally clean" personnel. To do otherwise threatens not only individual officers but the security of our sources and methods.
- In short, the issue for us is not age discrimination but rather the fact that the very nature of our business makes unusual demands on certain of our personnel and their families and produces a high burnout Shifting large numbers of those who are less physically able into desk jobs at Headquarters is simply not an option for us as it would upset the Headquarters/Field balance in our staffing, limit our ability to respond to crises, reduce the intake of new officers, and produce a layer of Headquarters managers who would be guiding operations without the benefit of recent field experience. Nor can we, in a business as sensitive as this, leave the determination solely to the individual or enter into endless debate over whether a given employee is fit to carry on or could manage a specially tailored workload. It is our mission that defines our staffing. What we need is what we have worked hard to develop and maintain: a flow-through of personnel, backed by the assurance of an equitable retirement plan for those who have labored under such stressful conditions.

2 CONFIDENTIAL (20Sept85)

25X1

25X1

25X1

COMITDENTIAL